IN THE HIGH COURTOF PUNJAB AND HARYANA, CHANDIGARH.

CWP No.17926 of of 2007
Date of decision:29.11.2007

Sushma Patial

....Petitioner.

vs.

State Transport Commissioner Punjab and another

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTI CE J.S.KHEHAR.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SHAM SUNDER.

Present: Mr.Rohit Kapoor, Advocate, for the petitioner.

--

J.S.KHEHAR,J. (Oral)

The petitioner is stated to have sent a legal notice dated 6.11.2007(Annexure P2) for grant of permits on Dhar to Sarti, Garhi Ahata, Pathankopt to Dhar Kalan and Dhar to Thara extended upto Civil Hospital routes.It is the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner, that the claim of the petitioner for grant of the aforesaid permits ought to have been considered by respondent No.1 i.e. State Transport Commissioner,Punjab,

specially on account of the fact that there is no notification under Section 71(3)(a) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988, laying down specified numbers of permits for allotment to the route in question.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner will be satisfied if the instant writ petition is disposed of with a direction

to respondent No.1 i.e. State Transport Commissioner Punjab, requiring him to dispose of the aforesaid legal notice dated 6.11.2007(Annexure P2).

In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioner, without going into the merits of the claim raised by the petitioner and without expressing any opinion thereon, we consider it just and appropriate to dispose of the instant writ petition with a direction to respondent No.1 i.e. State Transport Commissioner,Punjab, to take a final decision on the representation submitted by the petitioner dated 6.11.2007(Annexure P2) by passing a well reasoned speaking order within two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Disposed of accordingly.

Order Dasti on payment of usual charges.

(J.S.Khehar)
Judge

(Sham Sunder)
Judge

November 29, 2007
rk